

#91

COMPLETE

Collector: Gimbel Foundat...nd Evaluation (Web Link)
Started: Monday, September 26, 2016 8:28:54 AM
Last Modified: Monday, September 26, 2016 8:32:40 AM
Time Spent: 00:03:46
IP Address: 71.80.133.61

Page 1

Q1 Name of your organization.

OPARC

Q2 Grant #

20150353

Q3 Grant Period

June 1, 2015 to May 30, 2016

Q4 Location of your organization

City	Montclair
State	CA

Q5 Name and Title of person completing evaluation.

Andrea Erickson, President & CEO

Q6 Phone Number:

909-985-3116 ext. 125

Q7 Email address.

aerickson@oparc.org

Page 2: Key Outcomes and Results

Q8 Total number of clients served through this grant funding:

500

Q9 Describe the project's key outcomes and results based on the goals and objectives. Use the following format: State the Goal: State Objective 1: Describe the Activities, Results and Outcomes for Objective 1: State Objective 2 (if applicable): Describe the Activities, Results and Outcomes for Objective 2: State Objective 3 (if applicable): Describe the Activities, Results and Outcomes for Objective 3:

Through the generosity of the S. L. Gimbel Foundation Fund, OPARC will install vehicle maintenance and safety monitoring devices in 23 of the vehicles that transport OPARC participants and staff during the week. By installing these devices, we will vastly improve the safety of our passengers and drivers.

Q10 Please describe any challenges/obstacles the organization encountered (if any) in attaining goals & objectives.

In the initial grant request, OPARC anticipated purchasing 25 "Ford Crew Chiefs". However, after the grant agreement had been finalized we discovered the monitoring devices had been discontinued. This delayed the installation of the devices for several months as we sought to identify a comparable replacement.

Q11 How did you overcome and/or address the challenges and obstacles?

After some research, we found Ford had introduced a new line of monitoring devices called Ford Telematics™ Professional. The new line included all the features of the Crew Chiefs, as well as additional features and analytics. Due to the pricing changes, we were able to purchase devices for 23 vehicles.

Q12 Describe any unintended positive outcomes as a result of the efforts supported by this grant.

The Telematics line included more features than the original Crew Chiefs line. In addition to the capability to run diagnostics, send maintenance alerts, and GPS tracking, the Telematics line also includes in-cab alerts to give audible driver feedback, driver scorecards, customizable reports, and more.

Q13 Briefly describe the impact this grant has had on the organization and community served.

With the installation of the Ford Telematics devices, OPARC has the capability to ensure regular maintenance is up-to-date and alert staff when maintenance is needed on the vehicle. The devices also include GPS tracking to ensure OPARC leadership knows exactly where each vehicle is at all times. It also monitors the driver's speed, sudden stopping, idling time, and whether or not seat belts are in use to ensure the safety of everyone in the car.

Page 3: Budget

Q14 Please provide a budget expenditure report of the approved line items. Include a brief narrative on how the funds were used to fulfill grant objectives.

Funding from the S. L. Gimbel Foundation Fund enabled OPARC to purchase the Ford Telematics Professional devices for 23 of our vehicles. This includes all hardware, as well as all service fees for the coming 18 months.

Page 4: Success Stories

Q15 Please relate a success story:

OPARC's transport vans ensure our participants can access the services and programs that will change their lives and help them reach their full potential. The transport vans bring participants to our facilities to participate in OPARC programs, as well as enable them to access the community during group outings to reduce social isolation and promote inclusion.

Jessica's story is a great example of the impact OPARC's transport vans make in the lives of our participants:

"Jessica" has been attending the Monte Vista Adult Development Center in Montclair since January 2014. Prior to OPARC, Jessica had not been enrolled in a day program for almost two years. Since her family did not own a vehicle, most of her time was spent at home.

When Jessica first began at OPARC, she was very shy and timid. Most days, she would tell her mom that she wanted to stay home rather than go to OPARC programs. However, once she became familiar with her peers and the OPARC staff, she blossomed into a delightful young woman who works hard and most importantly, is able to access the community. OPARC's transport vans enable Jessica to participate in community activities such as going to the movies, bowling, shopping, and eating out at restaurants.

One of Jessica's favorite things to do is go to the bank to cash her paycheck and purchase lunch with the money she earned. Jessica's mother continues to share her gratitude for OPARC and our transportation services because it has allowed her daughter to socialize with others and participate in community integration.

Thank you for supporting OPARC's Transportation Safety Program to ensure participants like Jessica can access the community safely!

Q16 Please relate a success story here:

Respondent skipped this question

Q17 Please relate a success story here:

Respondent skipped this question

Page 5: Organizational Information

Q18 Which category best describes the organization. Please choose only one.

Basic Needs Support

Q19 What is the organization's primary program area of interest?

Disabled/Access

Q20 Percentage of clients served through grant in each ethnic group category. Total must equal 100%

African American	9
Asian/Pacific Islander	4
Caucasian	45
Hispanic Latino	37
Other	5

Q21 Approximate percentage of clients served from grant funds in each age category.

Adults	73
Senior Citizens	27

S.L. Gimbel Foundation Fund

Q22 Approximate percentage of clients served with disabilities from grant funds.	Physically Disabled	50
	Mentally/Emotionally Disabled	50

Q23 Approximate percentage of clients served in each economic group.	At/Below Poverty Level	100
---	------------------------	------------

Q24 Approximate percentage of clients served from grant funds in each population category.	Disabled	100
---	----------	------------
